## Welcome back

31 March 2021



# 01 RE-CAP

Module G: CIRIS



#### Practical

Task: Create a draft GHG inventory in CIRIS for your city using your workbook data

- 1 Define your inventory boundary
- 2 Define your data sources and emission factors
- 3 Add IPPU and AFOLU with data from Module F. Use notation keys for all other activities
- 4 Update Stationary energy with data from Module C. Use notation keys for all other activities
- 5 Update Transportation with data from Module D. Use notation keys for all other activities
  - 6 Update Waste with data from Module E, choosing your preferred methodology (CIRIS, scaled national data, proxy city). Use notation keys for all other activities
- 7 Complete data quality assessment
- 8 Review your results. Do the results make sense to you? Are they what you expected?

#### Results: Summary



#### Results: Summary

GHG Emissions Source (By Sector)		Total GHGs (metric tonnes CO2e)					
		Scope 1	Scope 2	Scope 3	BASIC	BASIC+	BASIC+ S3
STATIONARY ENERGY	Energy use (all emissions except I.4.4)	381.028	4.062.500		4.443.528	4.443.528	4.443.528
STATIONARTENERGT	Energy generation supplied to the grid (I.4.4)						
TRANSPORTATION (all II emissions)		2.911.523	40.950		2.952.473	2.952.473	2.952.473
WASTE	Waste generated in the city (III.X.1 and III.X.2)			552.010	552.010	552.010	552.010
	Waste generated outside city (III.X.3)						
IPPU	(all IV emissions)	203.512				203.512	203.512
AFOLU (all V emissions)		27.210				27.210	27.210
OTHER SCOPE 3 (all VI emissions)							
TOTAL		3.523.273	4.103.450	552.010	7.948.011	8.178.733	8.178.733





## 02 MODULE H

Using the inventory

#### Module H: Using the inventory



#### Module H Using the inventory



#### 01

#### Inventory management

#### What can go wrong?



#### Inventory management system



Source: IPCC

#### Steps to an inventory management system

1. Identify all individuals / organisations to be involved	2. Define implementation procedures	3. Define reporting objectives
<ul> <li>Appoint city inventory team</li> <li>Allocate responsibilities for inventory management</li> <li>Define formal approval process</li> <li>Secure partners and support needs</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Make arrangements to collect data from suppliers</li> <li>Agree timeline</li> <li>Define data processing steps</li> <li>Create quality assurance/quality control plan</li> <li>Establish storage system</li> <li>Create improvement log</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>To who?</li> <li>What are the outputs?</li> <li>When are the deadlines?</li> </ul>

#### City information

Requirements	Definition
Inventory boundary	Geographic area Time span Population GDP Area (+ map)
Activities (by subsector)	Stationary Energy (scope 1 and 2) In boundary travel (scope 1 and 2) Waste (scope 1 and 3)
Gases	$CO_2$ , $CH_4$ and $N_2O$

#### Inventory management plan

Role	Lead	Co-lead
Inventory Coordinators		
Lead Inventory Compilers		
Technical Working Group		
Reviewers		
Steering Group		

#### Inventory management plan: New York City

New York city plan their inventory each March

This involves defining roles and responsibilities of the inventory team, sending data requests and building a timeline up to their mid-September deadline

Obstacles such as data availability and agreeing contracts between the inventory team (private consultants) and the city government have often caused delays to the inventory plan however, showing that even a good plan must include contingency time, can be challenging to deliver, and should take account of possible issues in setting timescales and deadlines



#### Module H Using the inventory

#### Data management

02

#### Overcoming data barriers

- Start by undertaking a systematic review of data available to establish who may hold what data that you require
- Establish a working group of key data providers to develop data provision arrangements and resolve issues
- Implement data supply agreements (dsa's) with key data providers outlining what they will provide and when (these do not have to be complex)
- Aggregate data to a level where it no longer is deemed as commercially sensitive – e.G. Grouping data in order that individual sites and companies can no longer be identified

#### Engaging data suppliers



It is good practice to engage data suppliers in the process of compiling an inventory:

- Establish a working group of key data providers
- Arrange a meeting / call to discuss your data needs
- Identify co-benefits for data suppliers
- Agree format of data, process and frequency
  - > No agreement publically available e.g. Web, published report
  - > Informal agreement e.g. Verbal
  - > Semi-formal agreement, e.g. By email
  - Formal "data supply agreement (DSA)" or "memorandum of understanding (MOU)"
  - > (Legal agreement or contract)

#### **Documenting data**

It is important to have a good system for documenting your data:

"... High quality, transparent documentation is particularly important to credibility. If information is not credible, or fails to be effectively communicated to either internal or external stakeholders, it will not have value. Cities should seek to ensure the quality of these components at every level of their inventory design."



#### **Documenting data**

Example of clear documentation of assumptions and data exclusion

Note – this does not replace the need to reference in CIRIS but is good practice and allows more information on assumptions and methods to be recorded

Sector/Category	Assumptions and Exclusions
Stationary energy emissi	ions
	<ul> <li>Coal and biomass related emissions have been estimated using a top down approach, applying the national average consumption for commercial and residential coal use, estimated based on population figures.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Due to the lack of heavy industry within the Region, it was assumed that there are no emissions from industrial coal consumption.</li> </ul>
Residential, commercial and industrial stationary energy emissions	<ul> <li>Consumption of natural gas and electricity data are based on total energy distributed to grid exit points within the Region. The energy provided to these grid exit points have then been allocated to individual Cities and Districts. This may in some cases mean that energy used in one City or Districts may be counted in a different City or Districts, depending on the distribution network for gas and electricity, which may not match City or Districts boundaries in all cases.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Emission per user group (i.e. residential, commercial and industrial) was estimated based on national average energy use split between these groups as reported by MBIE (2013b).</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Emissions from renewable electricity generation from wind and water are assumed to be zero.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from combustion of landfill gas are assumed to be insignificant and have not been included.</li> </ul>
-	<ul> <li>Brooklyn Wind Turbine generation data prior to 2011/12 was estimated based on data provided for 2011-2013.</li> </ul>
Electricity generation	Data for West Wind was estimated for 2009-2012 based on data provided for 2012/13.
	<ul> <li>Electricity generation data for the Hau Nui wind farm was sourced from the Meridian Energy website and based on the installation/construction stages of the wind farm.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>National emission factor for electricity generation was estimated based on data published by MBIE in their quarterly electricity and liquid fuel emissions table (MBIE 2013a).</li> </ul>
Industrial Emissions	<ul> <li>Not included in reporting boundary, as it is assumed that there are no relevant emissions from stationary industrial energy generation occurring within the Region.</li> </ul>
Fugitive Emissions	<ul> <li>Not included in the Inventory, as there is no production of oil or gas occurring within the Region.</li> </ul>
Mobile emissions	
Road transport	<ul> <li>Total volume of fuel sold within the Region was provided by Wellington City Council and Masterton Districts Council. Volumes of fuel sold within each Districts were estimated based on the share of km travelled within each Districts, compared to the total Regional distances, using VKT data from Greater Wellington Regional Council. This may not necessarily correspond with the actual amount of fuel sold within each area, but has been chosen by the participating Councils as the best representation of</li> </ul>

Source: http://wellington.govt.nz/~/media/services/environment-andwaste/environment/files/greenhouse-gas-inventory-web.pdf

#### Quality assurance and quality control

Requirements	Definition
Quality control (QC)	A system to ensure regular and adequate checking of data and information
Quality assurance (QA)	A system of regular independent reviews

- > Plan: create a list of checks to undertake when data received and person to do this
- Implementation: undertake checks of data and actions required e.g. gap filling, adjustment
- > **Documentation**: document actions taken and improvements needed for next time

#### QA/QC checks in practice

Calculating emissions and checking calculations

Check a sample of input data for transcription errors

Identify spreadsheet modifications that could provide additional controls or checks on quality

Ensure that adequate version control procedures for electronic files have been implemented

#### **Data documentation**

Confirm that bibliographical data references are included in spreadsheets for all primary data

Check that copies of cited references have been archived

Check that assumptions and criteria for selecting boundaries, base years, methods, activity data, emission factors and other parameters are documented

Check that changes in data or methodology are documented

#### QA/QC checks in practice

Calculating emissions and checking calculations

Check whether emission units, parameters, and conversion factors are appropriately labeled

Check if units are properly labeled and correctly carried through from beginning to end of calculations

Check that conversion factors are correct

Check the data processing steps (e.g., equations) in the spreadsheets

Check that spreadsheet input data and calculated data are clearly differentiated

Check a representative sample of calculations, by hand or electronically

Check some calculations with abbreviated calculations (i.e., back of the envelope calculations)

Check the aggregation of data across source categories, sectors, etc.

Check consistency of time series inputs and calculations

Others

#### Data management best practice

Archiving inventory database / spreadsheets

Archiving source data

Linking and referencing to source data – avoid copy > paste

Restricted access to database / files

System of codes / colours to note changes

Backups

Version control

Central storage / server / cloud

Contacts database / central list

Trained staff and limited access

#### QA/QC summary

Have a good, clear, well documented QA/QC plan: this is the foundation of all activities, responsibilities and processes (it does not have to be complex!)

Embed a system of checking procedures (QC) from the beginning

Set up a central system for storing data, transparently documenting data activities

Consider the most appropriate form of review (QA)



#### Module H Using the inventory

#### 03

# Communicating inventory results

#### Communicating Inventory results

Consider:

- Audience: internal and external stakeholders
- Data: emissions, activity data, targets, actions etc
- Resources

Examples

• Cape Town, New York, London, Sydney, Seoul, Melbourne and Portland

#### Cape Town: emissions by source and sector





#### New York City GHG emission forecast



Source: NYC

#### New York City energy flow chart



2017

Source: https://nyc-ghg-inventory.cusp.nyu.edu/#energy-flow

#### London heat map



Source: London

## Sydney energy demand



Source: Sydney

#### Seoul GHG emission reduction target



Source: Seoul

#### Melbourne GHG emission reduction target



Source: Melbourne

#### Portland – change in GHG emissions



Source: https://beta.portland.gov/bps/scg/carbon-emissions

#### Exercise: Communicating inventory results

Audience	
Key messages	
Media	
Format	
Who	



#### Module H Using the inventory

Setting targets

04

#### Four types of targets

Target type	Reduction in what?	Reductions relative to?	Example	
Base year	Emissions	Historical base year emissions	25% reduction in emissions from 1990 levels by 2020	
Fixed-level (absolute)	Emissions	No reference level, absolute value	Net-zero emissions by 2050	
Base year intensity	Emissions intensity	Historical base year emissions	40% reduction in emissions per capita by 2020, compared to per capita in 1990	
Baseline scenario	Emissions	Projected baseline emissions scenario	30% reduction from business-as-usual emissions in 2020	

#### Base year target

Reduction in emissions relative to an emissions level in a historical base year

Framed in terms of a percent reduction and corresponds to an absolute reduction in emissions

For example: a 25% reduction from 1990 levels by 2020



#### Base year emissions intensity target

Reduction in emissions intensity relative to an emissions intensity level in a historical base year.

Emissions intensity is emissions per unit of output. e.g. GDP, population, energy use.

Framed in terms of a percent reduction and correspond to an absolute reduction in emissions intensity.

For example: a 40% reduction in emissions per capita by 2020, when compared against emissions per capita in 1990



#### Fixed-level (absolute) target

Reduction in emissions to an absolute emissions level in a target year

Fixed level goals do not include a reference to an emissions level in a baseline scenario or historical base year.

For example: To achieve carbon neutrality – zero net emissions – by 2050



#### Baseline scenario (BAU) target

Reduction in emissions relative to a baseline scenario emissions level (business-as-usual forecast)

Framed in terms of a percent reduction of emissions from the baseline scenario

May not correspond to an absolute reduction in emissions

For example: 30% reduction from baseline scenario emissions in 2020.



#### City targets

City	Target	Target year	Туре	
Melbourne, Australia	100%	2020	Fixed level / absolute (neutrality)	
Boston, USA	100%	2050	Fixed level / absolute (neutrality)	
Oslo, Norway	50% 95%	2020 2050	Base year (1990 baseline)	
Moshi Municipal Council, Tanzania	60%	2025	Business as usual Local Government Operations	
Cape Town, South Africa	13% 29% 37%	2022 2030 2040	Business as Usual (2012 baseline) Energy-related emissions	
Kampala, Uganda	22%	2030	Business as Usual (2012 baseline) All sectors	
Hong Kong	65%	2030	Emissions Intensity (2005 baseline) - equivalent to 26% to 36% absolute, and 3.3-3.8 t/capita	

#### Defining targets

Step	Description
Prepare for target setting	Understanding the needs and opportunities to reduce emissions
Define the target boundary	The geographic area, emission sources and GHGs covered by the target
Choose the target type	Base year emissions target, intensity target, scenario target or Fixed-level target
Set the target timeframe	Target year and Base year
Set the level of ambition	Minimum requirements, local mitigation opportunities use of transferable emissions

### Exercise: Target type

Target	Туре
80% reduction by 2040 from 2000	
60% from BAU in 2030	
25% reduction in GHG per \$1000 GDP by 2030	
Carbon neutrality	
Halving per capita emissions by 2030	
30% reduction in the next ten years	
100% reduction by 2050	
70% lower than forecasted emissions by 2035	



#### Module H Using the inventory

05

# Self-verification toolkit

#### Self-verification toolkit

#### **Reviewing GHG emissions inventories**

To demonstrate that a GHG emission inventory has been developed in accordance with the requirements of the GPC, and provide assurance to users that it represents a faithful, true, and fair account of their city's GHG emissions, cities may choose to verify their inventory. This involves an assessment of the completeness, accuracy and reliability of reported data. C40's **GPC inventory self-verification toolkit** enables practitioners to assess whether their inventory is compliant with the requirements and principles of the GPC before uploaded to a public reporting platform.

#### Toolbox Resources

GPC Inventory Self-Verification Toolkit



Version 1.1 Updated in March 2021. Assess your inventory against the GPC requirements (for internal review purpose) and benchmark against other C40 cities. The database below must also be downloaded to allow benchmarking



C40 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Dashboard Database Updated in March 2021. Required to use the GPC Inventory Self-Verification Toolkit

& Download

Source: www.resourcecentre.c40.org



#### Module H Using the inventory

Action plan

06

## Stationary energy

GPC	Data	Where from?	Action	Lead
Residential buildings				
Commercial buildings and facilities				
institutional buildings and facilities				
Manufacturing / construction				
Energy generation supplied to the grid				
Fugitive emissions from oil and gas				

#### Transportation

GPC	Data	Where from?	Action	Lead
On-road				
Railways				
Waterborne navigation				
Aviation				
Off-road				

#### Waste



GPC	Data	Where from?	Action	Lead
Solid waste				
Biological treatment				
Incineration and open burning				
Wastewater				

## 03 SUMMARY

Module H: Using the inventory

#### Module H: Using the inventory





#### Exercise: Malaysia national inventory

Activity	А	В	С	D
Total emissions excluding removals (in tonnes CO2e)	335 million	251 million	153 billion	439 million
% GHG emissions from Waste sector	25%	40%	15%	8%
Population of Malaysia (in millions)	31.6	40.6	52.5	73.4
Rate of urbanization	80%	46%	75%	63%
Total electricity consumption (in GWh)	211,000	117,000	53,000	363,000
Number of vehicles registered (in millions)	16	27	43	21
% GHG emissions from Road transportation	51%	5%	15%	17%
% GHG emissions from Rice cultivation	2%	5%	<1%	8%

## The end